

Additions and Corrections to “Revision of some taxa of the *Polyommatus (Agrodiaetus) transcaspicus* group with description of a new species from Central Anatolia (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae)”

Alain Olivier, Jurate Puplesiene, Dirk van der Poorten, Willy De Prins & Martin Wiemers

No matter how much care one takes to try to reach perfection, this apparently is a vain effort. A few minor mistakes sneaked into our paper, published in *Phegea* 27(1): 1–24, which we would like to correct on the present occasion.

On p. 5, we wrote under “holotype”: printed orange label “*Polyommatus (Agrodiaetus) | guezelmavi* sp. n. | HOLOTYPE ♂”. This is to be replaced by: printed orange label “*Polyommatus (Agrodiaetus) | guezelmavi* Olivier, Puplesiene, van der Poorten, De Prins & Wiemers, 1999 | HOLOTYPE ♂”. We have now further added a printed white label “Figured in Olivier, Puplesiene, van der Poorten, De Prins & Wiemers (1999: 18–19, pl. 1 & 2, fig. 1)”. A similar white printed label has now been added to every single specimen figured in our publication on plates 1 & 2, as well as on text figures 1 & 2.

The newly designated 3 ♂ paralectotypes of *P. (A.) damonides* (Staudinger, 1899) now bear an additional red label: “*Polyommatus (Agrodiaetus) | damonides* (Staudinger, 1899) | LECTOTYPUS ♂ | design. Olivier, Puplesiene, van der Poorten, De Prins & Wiemers (1999: 16)”, the 2 ♀ paralectotypes bear an identical label, except for the replacement by the sex symbol ♀.

On p. 22, instead of “We would rather consider that the following general tendencies occur in the subgenus *Agrodiaetus*”, read: “We would rather consider that the following general remarks apply to the subgenus *Agrodiaetus*”.

Recently, we came after the fact, that great help with all testes preparations and photographs was obtained from Dr. Seppo Nokkala (Laboratory of Genetics, Department of Biology, University of Turku, Finland) and hence he has also to be credited, both at the appropriate places in the text and in the Acknowledgments section.